













Smoothing method	Interpolation	PER $\pm$ 1 sd	
Good-Turing	no	8.28 $\pm$ 0.12	
Witten-Bell	no	8.00 $\pm$ 0.13	
absolute disc. of 0.9	no	11.71 $\pm$ 0.14	
original Kneser-Ney	no	8.62 $\pm$ 0.13	
modified Kneser-Ney	no	9.18 $\pm$ 0.13	
Witten-Bell	yes	7.68 $\pm$ 0.12	
absolute disc. of 0.5	yes	8.03 $\pm$ 0.13	
absolute disc. of 0.8	yes	7.56 $\pm$ 0.13	
absolute disc. of 0.9	yes	7.52 $\pm$ 0.12	
absolute disc. of 1.0	yes	8.24 $\pm$ 0.13	
original Kneser-Ney	yes	6.86 $\pm$ 0.12	
modified Kneser-Ney	yes	6.75 $\pm$ 0.12	

6.0      8.0      10.0      12.0

Table 1: PER of letter-to-phone conversion using different smoothing methods and interpolation during grapheme LM training. Results using a 6-gram 0-1 model.